

The Crime of Conspiracy in International Criminal Law

Juliet R. Amenge Okoth

The Crime of Conspiracy in International Criminal Law



ASSER PRESS



Springer

Juliet R. Amenge Okoth
Commercial Law
University of Nairobi
Nairobi
Kenya

ISBN 978-94-6265-016-9 ISBN 978-94-6265-017-6 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-94-6265-017-6

Library of Congress Control Number: 2014936431

© T.M.C. ASSER Institute and the author 2014

Published by T.M.C. ASSER PRESS, The Hague, The Netherlands www.asserpress.nl
Produced and distributed for T.M.C. ASSER PRESS by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Acknowledgments

This research has been made possible by the South African-German Centre for Transnational Criminal Justice, supported by the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) with funds from the Federal Foreign Office of Germany. The Centre is based on cooperation between Humboldt University of Berlin and University of the Western Cape (UWC).

I would like to thank Professor Gerhard Werle of Humboldt University and a director of the South African-German Centre, for supervising my work, giving invaluable intellectual input and practical advice throughout the process. I am also very grateful for the support he gave enabling me to have a two-year stay in Germany, carrying out my research at the Humboldt University, which proved to be very crucial for my research. I would also like to thank Professor Lovell Fernandez of the University of the Western Cape for the general support he has given me as a director of the Criminal Justice programme.

I am deeply indebted to Dr. Moritz Vormbaum and Victor Manuel Macias Caro who both played a crucial role in helping me with the comparative study on civil law jurisdictions. Dr. Vormbaum particularly helped with my study of German Law, especially with the translations and references, and offered some sound advice on my work on the International Tribunals. Victor Manuel Macias Caro was essential to my work on Spanish and Italian Law, helping with the much needed translation and giving critical comments. I am also grateful to Dr. Chantal Meloni and Professor Emanuela Fronza for their contribution to Italian Law and Dr. Nicolas Bertrand's contribution to my work on French Law. For their editorial support I would like to thank Dr. Paul Bornkamm and Sosteness Materu. I am also grateful to my colleague Charity Wibabara for her critical comments on certain aspects of my work.

I am very grateful to the German Academic Exchange Service and the Federal Foreign Office of Germany for their generous financial support of my entire study duration in both South Africa and Germany.

I also owe a debt of gratitude to Frau Anja Schepke and Frau Ulrike Brodien for their invaluable administrative support throughout my research stay in Germany. On this aspect, I would also like to thank my colleague Windell Nortje for his diligent support with administrative issues in the University of the Western Cape.

I would also like to thank my parents and siblings for their constant support, as well as Rachel Okong'o and my husband Florian Schmachtenberg for their invaluable social support during my stay in Germany.

Special thanks also go to the staff and team of T. M. C. Asser Press and Springer for their professional input in the publication process.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background to the Study	2
1.1.1 Statement of the Problem.	4
1.1.2 Objectives of the Study	7
1.1.3 Overview of Chapters	7
2 Comparative Analysis	9
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Common Law Jurisdictions.	10
2.2.1 United Kingdom	11
2.2.2 United States	25
2.2.3 The Rationale of Conspiracy Law in Common Law Systems	38
2.2.4 Summary	42
2.2.5 Analysis.	43
2.3 Civil Law Countries.	46
2.3.1 Germany	47
2.3.2 Spain	58
2.3.3 France	64
2.3.4 Italy	69
2.3.5 Summary	72
2.4 Evaluation	74
2.5 Conclusion	77
3 Conspiracy in the Jurisprudence of the International Criminal Tribunals	79
3.1 Introduction	80
3.2 The Nuremberg Tribunal	81
3.2.1 The Charter	81
3.2.2 The Trial	83
3.2.3 Evaluation	88
3.3 Subsequent Nuremberg Trials	94
3.3.1 The United States of America v. Josef Altst�oetter et al. (Justice Trial)	95

- 3.3.2 The United States of America v. Karl Brandt et al. (Medical Case) 101
- 3.3.3 The United States of America v. Oswald Pohl et al. 103
- 3.3.4 The United States of America v. Carl Krauch et al. (I.G. Farben Trial). 105
- 3.3.5 The United States of America v. Alfred Krupp et al. (Krupp Trial) 107
- 3.3.6 The United States of America v. Ernst von Weizsäcker et al. (Ministries Trial) 108
- 3.3.7 The United States of America v. Wilhelm von Leeb et al. (High Command Trial) 109
- 3.3.8 Evaluation 110
- 3.4 Conspiracy Before the International Military Tribunal for the Far East (IMTFE) 113
 - 3.4.1 The Charter 114
 - 3.4.2 The Trial and General Findings on Conspiracy. 115
 - 3.4.3 Evaluation 118
- 3.5 Comparison Between the Nuremberg and Tokyo Tribunals Conspiracy 121
- 3.6 The International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia 122
 - 3.6.1 Conspiracy 122
 - 3.6.2 Joint Criminal Enterprise 124
- 3.7 The International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda. 128
 - 3.7.1 The Statute. 128
 - 3.7.2 Jurisprudence 130
- 3.8 Evaluation of the Ad Hoc Tribunals 139
 - 3.8.1 Planning and Preparation 141
- 3.9 Conclusion 143
- 4 Customary International Law. 147**
 - 4.1 Introduction 147
 - 4.2 Characteristics of Customary International Law 148
 - 4.2.1 State Practice 149
 - 4.2.2 Treaty Law and Practice of International Tribunals. 151
 - 4.3 Evaluation 153
 - 4.4 Conclusion 155
- 5 Conspiracy in the Statute of the International Criminal Court . . . 157**
 - 5.1 Introduction 158
 - 5.2 Negotiations Surrounding the Conspiracy Doctrine 159
 - 5.3 Article 25 of the Rome Statute 162
 - 5.3.1 Commission 163
 - 5.3.2 Accomplice Liability 167

- 5.3.3 Complicity in Group Crimes 170
- 5.3.4 Attempt 175
- 5.3.5 Evaluation 175
- 5.4 Can Conspiracy be Punished Through a Purposive
Construction of the Rome Statute? 177
- 5.5 A Deliberate Decision or Inadvertent Omission: A Case
for Conspiracy 180
 - 5.5.1 Rationale for Punishing Conspiracy in International
Criminal Law 181
 - 5.5.2 Objections to Punishing Conspiracy 186
 - 5.5.3 Evaluation 187
 - 5.5.4 Recommendation 193
- 6 General Conclusion 195**
- Bibliography 205**