

# **International Criminal Justice Series**

Volume 4

## **Series editors**

Gerhard Werle, Berlin, Germany

Lovell Fernandez, Bellville, South Africa

Moritz Vormbaum, Berlin, Germany

## **Series Information**

The *International Criminal Justice Series* aims to create a platform for publications in the whole field of international criminal justice. It, therefore, deals with issues relating, among others, to:

- the work of international criminal courts and tribunals;
- transitional justice approaches in different countries;
- international anti-corruption and anti-money laundering initiatives;
- the history of international criminal law.

The series concentrates on themes pertinent to developing countries. It is peer-reviewed and seeks to publish high-quality works emanating from excellent scholars, in particular from African countries.

## **Editorial Office**

Prof. Dr. Gerhard Werle  
Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin  
Faculty of Law  
Unter den Linden 6,  
10099 Berlin, Germany  
gerhard.werle@rewi.hu-berlin.de  
moritz.vormbaum@rewi.hu-berlin.de

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/13470>

Petra Viebig

# Illicitly Obtained Evidence at the International Criminal Court



ASSER PRESS



Springer

Petra Viebig  
Public Prosecutor's Office  
Staatsanwaltschaft Hamburg  
Hamburg  
Germany

ISSN 2352-6718                      ISSN 2352-6726 (electronic)  
International Criminal Justice Series  
ISBN 978-94-6265-092-3              ISBN 978-94-6265-093-0 (eBook)  
DOI 10.1007/978-94-6265-093-0

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015951393

Published by T.M.C. ASSER PRESS, The Hague, The Netherlands [www.asserpress.nl](http://www.asserpress.nl)  
Produced and distributed for T.M.C. ASSER PRESS by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

© T.M.C. ASSER PRESS and the author 2016

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer Science+Business Media B.V. Dordrecht is part of Springer Science+Business Media  
([www.springer.com](http://www.springer.com))

# Acknowledgments

The research for this book was conducted under the supervision of Prof. Dr. Werle of the Humboldt University, Berlin, and I first and foremost thank him for guiding me through the whole process, from the first draft to the present book. I would also like to thank Prof. Dr. René Blattmann, a former Judge at the International Criminal Court, who was so kind to take the time to discuss parts of this book and to provide his expertise. The FAZIT Foundation has earned my gratitude for their financial support.

The first idea for this book was born during a stay at the International Criminal Court in 2010. A great number of people there inspired me to do this work, both with their advice and with enthusiasm and commitment for their work. In that respect I am especially indebted to Judge Hans-Peter Kaul, who unfortunately passed away in 2014, and to Eleni Chaitidou and Gilbert Bitti, who provided very helpful advice at an early stage of the book. I would also like to thank the many colleagues and friends at the ICC, especially Kari Pannacione and Julia Koschyk, whom I first met at the ICC and who helped me with their comments and support throughout my research.

Finally, I thank my family and friends for their enduring help and support. My special thanks go to my parents and my brother, Thomas Viebig, without whose support in so many ways this book would not exist. But most importantly, I would like to thank Martin Ehlert, who has encouraged me to start this work and whose love still encourages me every day.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	1
1.1	Introduction . . . . .	1
1.2	Setting the Context . . . . .	4
1.3	Chapters Outline . . . . .	6
	References . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Legal Sources and Legal Methodology Under the ICC Statute</b> . . . . .	11
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	12
2.2	The ICC Proper Law and Its Interpretation . . . . .	13
2.2.1	General Considerations . . . . .	13
2.2.2	The Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties and Its Applicability to the ICC Statute and the Rules of Procedure and Evidence . . . . .	15
2.2.3	The Purpose of the ICC . . . . .	17
2.3	The Use of External Sources and Models for Interpretation . . . . .	22
2.3.1	The Hierarchy of Norms in Article 21 of the ICC Statute . . . . .	23
2.3.2	References Under Article 21 of the ICC Statute . . . . .	27
2.4	Conclusion and Outlook . . . . .	43
	References . . . . .	43
<b>3</b>	<b>Previous Approaches to the Exclusion of Illicitly Obtained Evidence</b> . . . . .	47
3.1	The Approach of the <i>Ad Hoc</i> Tribunals . . . . .	48
3.1.1	Introduction . . . . .	48
3.1.2	The General Approach to the Law of Evidence . . . . .	50
3.1.3	The <i>Ad Hoc</i> Tribunals and the Exclusion of Illicitly Obtained Evidence . . . . .	51
3.1.4	Factors in the Balancing Exercise . . . . .	55
3.1.5	Potential of the Approach of the <i>Ad Hoc</i> Tribunals for the Construction of the ICC Exclusionary Rule . . . . .	57

3.2	The Approach of the European Court of Human Rights . . . . .	58
3.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	58
3.2.2	The General Approach to the Law of Evidence . . . . .	59
3.2.3	The ECtHR and the Exclusion of Illicitly Obtained Evidence . . . . .	60
3.2.4	Factors in the Balancing Exercise . . . . .	61
3.2.5	Criticism of the ECtHR's Approach . . . . .	62
3.2.6	Potential of the ECtHR's Approach for the Construction of the ICC Exclusionary Rule . . . . .	63
3.3	Examples of Domestic Approaches . . . . .	65
3.3.1	Germany . . . . .	65
3.3.2	United States . . . . .	72
3.3.3	England and Wales . . . . .	79
3.3.4	Canada . . . . .	85
3.4	Conclusion and Outlook . . . . .	91
	References . . . . .	92
<b>4</b>	<b>Development of an Approach to the Exclusion of Evidence by the International Criminal Court . . . . .</b>	<b>97</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	98
4.2	The Collection of Evidence in Light of the ICC Cooperation Regime . . . . .	99
4.3	The Legal Framework for the Exclusion of Evidence . . . . .	105
4.3.1	The Admissibility of Evidence in General . . . . .	105
4.3.2	The Purpose of the ICC Exclusionary Rule . . . . .	110
4.3.3	The Relevant Violations . . . . .	134
	References . . . . .	159
<b>5</b>	<b>Factors in the Balancing Exercise . . . . .</b>	<b>163</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	164
5.2	The Seriousness of the Crime . . . . .	164
5.3	The Importance of the Evidence . . . . .	167
5.4	The Seriousness and Character of the Offence . . . . .	169
5.4.1	The General Impact of the Seriousness of the Violation . . . . .	169
5.4.2	Technical Mistakes . . . . .	171
5.4.3	Automatic Exclusion for Particular Rights?—The Example of Torture . . . . .	172
5.5	The Actors Involved in the Collection of Evidence . . . . .	180
5.6	Good Faith . . . . .	184
	References . . . . .	187
<b>6</b>	<b>The Relation Between the Violation and the Evidence . . . . .</b>	<b>189</b>
6.1	Causality and Normative Restriction . . . . .	190
6.2	An International ' <i>Fruit of the Poisonous Tree Doctrine</i> '? . . . . .	193
6.2.1	Domestic Approaches . . . . .	194

- 6.2.2 The Approach of the European Court of Human Rights . . . . 199
- 6.2.3 The International Level—the Scope  
of Article 69(7) of the ICC Statute . . . . . 200
- 6.3 Consecutive Statements . . . . . 209
  - 6.3.1 Consecutive Statements in Domestic Proceedings . . . . . 211
  - 6.3.2 The Case Law of the ICTY . . . . . 218
  - 6.3.3 Approach Under Article 69(7) of the ICC Statute . . . . . 219
- 6.4 Hypothetical Course of Investigations . . . . . 223
  - 6.4.1 The Validity of Hypothetical Considerations . . . . . 224
  - 6.4.2 The Probability Standard . . . . . 230
  - 6.4.3 Hypothetical Considerations and Judicial Warrants . . . . . 233
- References . . . . . 237
  
- 7 Procedural Aspects . . . . . 239**
  - 7.1 Introduction . . . . . 240
  - 7.2 The Right to Challenge the Admissibility of Evidence . . . . . 240
  - 7.3 The Duty to Rule on Admissibility . . . . . 241
  - 7.4 The Procedural Scope of Application  
of the ICC Exclusionary Rule . . . . . 242
  - 7.5 The Timing of a Motion . . . . . 242
  - 7.6 The Burden and Standard of Proof . . . . . 244
  - References . . . . . 253
  
- 8 Conclusion and Outlook . . . . . 255**
  - References . . . . . 259
  
- Bibliography . . . . . 261**
  
- Table of Cases . . . . . 271**
  
- Legal Documents . . . . . 283**
  
- Index . . . . . 287**



# Abbreviations

ACHR	American Convention of Human Rights
ACHPR	African Charter on Human and Peoples Rights
BGH	Bundesgerichtshof (German Federal Supreme Court)
Cr.App.R	Criminal Appeals Reports
Crim. L.R	Criminal Law Review
ECCC	Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
EWCA	England and Wales Court of Appeal
GA	General Assembly
IACtHR	Inter-American Court of Human Rights
ICC	International Criminal Court
ICCPR	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
ICJ	International Court of Justice
ICTR	International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
ICTY	International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia
OLG	Oberlandesgericht (German Higher Regional Court)
OTP	Office of the Prosecutor
PACE	Police and Criminal Evidence Act
para.	Paragraph
Q.B.	Queen's Bench
RPE	Rules of Procedure and Evidence
S.C.R.	Supreme Court Reports
StPO	Strafprozessordnung (German Code of Criminal Procedure)
U.S.	United States
UKHL	United Kingdom House of Lords
UN	United Nations
UNCAT	United Nations Convention against Torture
v.	Versus
VCLT	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
WL	Westlaw