

International Criminal Justice Series

Volume 7

Series editors

Gerhard Werle, Berlin, Germany

Lovell Fernandez, Bellville, South Africa

Moritz Vormbaum, Berlin, Germany

Series Information

The *International Criminal Justice Series* aims to create a platform for publications in the whole field of international criminal justice. It, therefore, deals with issues relating, among others, to:

- the work of international criminal courts and tribunals;
- transitional justice approaches in different countries;
- international anti-corruption and anti-money laundering initiatives;
- the history of international criminal law.

The series concentrates on themes pertinent to developing countries. It is peer-reviewed and seeks to publish high-quality works emanating from excellent scholars, in particular from African countries.

Editorial Office

Prof. Dr. Gerhard Werle
Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin
Faculty of Law
Unter den Linden 6,
10099 Berlin, Germany
gerhard.werle@rewi.hu-berlin.de
moritz.vormbaum@rewi.hu-berlin.de

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/13470>

Sangkul Kim

A Collective Theory of Genocidal Intent



ASSER PRESS



Springer

Sangkul Kim
Law School
Korea University
Seoul
South Korea (Republic of Korea)

ISSN 2352-6718 ISSN 2352-6726 (electronic)
International Criminal Justice Series
ISBN 978-94-6265-122-7 ISBN 978-94-6265-123-4 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-94-6265-123-4

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016934677

© T.M.C. ASSER PRESS and the author 2016

Published by T.M.C. ASSER PRESS, The Hague, The Netherlands www.asserpress.nl
Produced and distributed for T.M.C. ASSER PRESS by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer Science+Business Media B.V. Dordrecht

To Nayoung

Acknowledgments

This book stems from my doctoral research at Georgetown University Law Center. I deeply thank my dissertation committee members: Pros. David Luban, Julie O’Sullivan, and Neha Jain. My supervisor, Prof. David Luban, has provided me with unwavering support and encouragement for almost 15 years from the days of my master’s study. Without him, this book would have never existed.

I thank dean Nan Hunter and director Alexa Freeman for our wonderful S.J.D. program at Georgetown Law. I also remember our former librarian Jill L. Thomson-Riese’s kindness.

I am grateful to Profs. Lyou Byung-Hwa, Sang-Hyun Song, Tae Hyun Choi, Ki-Gab Park, Nohyung Park, Pyoungkeun Kang, Joongi Kim and Young Sok Kim for their continuing guidance and invaluable advice.

I thank Morten Bergsmo, Cécile Tournaye, Kil Su Choi, Jaemin Lee, Jong In Bae, Enrique Carnero-Rojo, and David Krivanek for their gentle friendship. Especially, I deeply appreciate my friend Morten’s trust in me from the days when we worked together at the Legal Advisory Section of the ICC-OTP. It was an honor that Prof. M. Cherif Bassiouni read my manuscript and provided me with insightful comments. I hereby express my sincere gratitude to him.

It has been a great pleasure to work with members of the T.M.C. Asser Press, especially with my editor Mr. Frank Bakker. I also thank the editors of the International Criminal Justice Series: Profs. Gerhard Werle and Lovell Fernandez, and Dr. Moritz Vormbaum. It is indeed my privilege to publish this book in the series.

I fully trust that Lorenzo Pugliatti will soon recover from his illness and return back to the ICC-OTP. I am also confident that Leena Grover’s habilitation will be a groundbreaking work.

I deeply thank my parents, Dong Ung Kim and Young Ja Kim, and my sister, Sung Min Kim, for their enduring love.

My wife, Nayoung Ahn, and my son, Jihoon, have been a source of inspiration, comfort, and happiness. I cannot thank Nayoung and Jihoon enough.

I cherish the memory of September 2004 when my friend, the late Hong-keun Yoo visited The Hague as a member of the Korean delegation to the 3rd ICC-ASP.

And it came to pass, that, when the sun went down, and it was dark, behold a smoking furnace, and a burning lamp that passed between those pieces.

Genesis 15: 17 (KJV)

For my thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways my ways, saith the LORD. For as the heavens are higher than the earth, so are my ways higher than your ways, and my thoughts than your thoughts.

Isaiah 55: 8-9 (KJV)

Because the foolishness of God is wiser than men; and the weakness of God is stronger than men.

1 Corinthians 1: 25 (KJV)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
	References	10
2	A Critique of Individualistic Approaches to Genocidal Intent	13
2.1	The Traditional Individualistic Understanding of Genocidal Intent: Its Pure Subjectivity	14
2.2	An Overview of the Purpose-Based Approach.	18
2.3	An Overview of the Knowledge-Based Approach	22
2.4	Rethinking the Knowledge-Based Approach (I): Some Observations.	30
2.4.1	A Hypothetical: An Insomniac Commander	30
2.4.2	Consequence or Context? On Valid Objects of Knowledge	31
2.4.3	Why Principals? A Comparison with Joint Criminal Enterprise and Perpetration by Means	34
2.4.4	Why Knowledge? A Comparison with Aiding and Abetting Genocide	41
2.4.5	Just an Aiding and Abetting Theory? A Wake-Up Call from the Popović et al. Case.	48
2.5	Rethinking the Knowledge-Based Approach (II): A Purpose-Based Theory of Individualistic Genocidal Intent	50
2.5.1	‘Special Intent’: A Matter of Intensity/Degree, or of Object?	51
2.5.2	Genocidal Intent as ‘Direct Intent/Purposely’	58
2.6	Complications and Frustrations: Individualistic Genocidal Intent at the Ad Hoc Tribunals	80
2.6.1	The Akayesu Paradox: Applying the Knowledge-Based Theory to the Purpose-Based Concept of Genocidal Intent?	81

2.6.2	Case Law Claiming the Purpose-Based Approach Doctrinally, but Denying It Evidentially?	84
2.6.3	From Individualistic Intent to Collective Intent	89
	References	92
3	Collective Genocide, Contextual Element and Substantiality.	97
3.1	An Overview of the Two-Layered Structure of Genocide: ‘Conduct Level’ Versus ‘Context Level’	98
3.2	Who Are You?: The Hidden Concept of ‘Collective Genocide’ Governing the Case Law	105
3.2.1	A Peculiar Judicial Invention of ‘Collective Genocide’ at the Ad Hoc Tribunals: A Quasi-Element of Genocide?	105
3.2.2	The Reason for the Jelisić Acquittal: The Absence of ‘Collective Genocide’	126
3.3	‘Collective Genocide’ and the Substantiality Requirement	130
3.3.1	Historical Development of the Substantiality Requirement	130
3.3.2	Objectifying ‘in Whole or in Part’?: Contextual Element of Genocide	134
3.3.3	Essence of Genocide? Destruction, Result and Substantiality	139
3.4	‘Collective Genocide’ and Threat	144
3.4.1	Objective Threat Inherent in the Contextual Element	144
3.4.2	‘Concrete Threat’ as Content of ‘Collective Genocide’?: Al Bashir Decision	146
3.4.3	Rethinking the Criticisms of the Al Bashir Decision’s ‘Concrete Threat’	148
3.4.4	Threat Only as Proof, but Not Content of ‘Collective Genocide’	152
3.5	‘Collective Genocide’ and the Degraded Importance of Individualistic Genocidal Intent	162
	References	167
4	Collective Genocidal Intent and Genocide as a Criminal Enterprise.	171
4.1	Unveiling Collective Genocidal Intent	172
4.1.1	Without Collective Genocidal Intent, No Individual Genocidal Intent	172
4.1.2	Inferring My Intent from ‘Acts of Others’?: Collective Genocidal Intent and the Pattern of Conduct	182
4.1.3	“Group as Such”: Importance of Collective Motive/Reason for Targeting	190

- 4.2 Genocide as a Criminal Enterprise: A Genocide-‘Joint Criminal Enterprise’ Analogy 193
 - 4.2.1 The Inherent Logic of Joint Criminal Enterprise Within Genocide: Parallel Notions of ‘Common Purpose/Plan’ and Collective Genocidal Intent 193
 - 4.2.2 Applying Joint Criminal Enterprise to Genocide: Watering Down Individual Genocidal Intent Through Objectification? 198
 - 4.2.3 The Top-Down Verticality of Genocidal Intent: The JCE Logic Within Genocide Rendering Genocide a Leadership Crime 218
- References 225
- 5 Conclusion 229**
- Index 233**