

Netherlands Yearbook of International Law

Volume 46

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/8913>

Maarten den Heijer · Harmen van der Wilt
Volume Editors

Netherlands Yearbook of International Law 2015

Jus Cogens: Quo Vadis?



Volume Editors

Maarten den Heijer
Department of Public International Law
Faculty of Law
University of Amsterdam
Amsterdam
The Netherlands

Harmen van der Wilt
Department of Criminal Law
Faculty of Law
University of Amsterdam
Amsterdam
The Netherlands

ISSN 0167-6768

ISSN 1574-0951 (electronic)

Netherlands Yearbook of International Law

ISBN 978-94-6265-113-5

ISBN 978-94-6265-114-2 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-94-6265-114-2

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016934961

Published by T.M.C. ASSER PRESS, The Hague, The Netherlands www.asserpress.nl

Produced and distributed for T.M.C. ASSER PRESS by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

© T.M.C. ASSER PRESS and the authors 2016

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature

The registered company is Springer Science+Business Media B.V. Dordrecht

Board of Editors

F. Amtenbrink
Erasmus University Rotterdam

H.G. van der Wilt
University of Amsterdam

W.J.M. van Genugten
(General Editor)
Tilburg University

M. den Heijer
University of Amsterdam

J.E. Nijman
University of Amsterdam
Asser Institute, The Hague

M. Kuijer
VU University, Amsterdam

W.G. Werner
VU University, Amsterdam

D. Prévost
Maastricht University

R.A. Wessel
(General Editor)
University of Twente

Managing Editor

M. Ambrus
Institute of Legal Sciences
Hungarian Academy of Science
Orszaghaz utca 30
H-1014 Budapest
Hungary

Aims and Scope

The Netherlands Yearbook of International Law (NYIL) was first published in 1970. As a double-blind peer-reviewed publication, the NYIL offers a forum for the publication of scholarly articles of a conceptual nature in a varying thematic area of public international law. In addition, each Yearbook includes a section *Dutch Practice in International Law*. The NYIL is published under the auspices of the T.M.C. Asser Instituut.

T.M.C. Asser Instituut

Located in the ‘international zone’ of The Hague—the City of Justice, Peace and Security, The T.M.C. Asser Instituut is a leading, inter-university research institute operating in the broad field of international law.

Founded in 1965, the Institute’s international community of scholars is engaged in research, postgraduate training and dissemination of knowledge in furtherance of the purposes and principles of international law. This inter-university institute cooperates closely with and supports the Dutch universities’ activities in the relevant disciplines. The academic fields covered by the Institute are Private International Law, Public International Law, Law of the European Union, International Commercial Arbitration, International Humanitarian Law, International Criminal Law and International Sports Law.

The Institute enjoys an excellent reputation at both a national and an international level for its development, organization and hosting of conferences and academic meetings, demand-driven postgraduate programmes and training. Its ancillary websites and data collections all contribute to a coherent and integral strategy in the area of knowledge transfer.

The Institute has its own publishing house, T.M.C. Asser Press. The T.M.C. Asser Press not only serves the publishing needs of the T.M.C. Asser Instituut, but also those of academics and practitioners worldwide in the fields of International and European Law.

T.M.C. Asser Instituut
Institute for Private and Public International Law
International Commercial Arbitration and European Law

Institute Address:
R. J. Schimmelpennincklaan 20–22
2517 JN The Hague
The Netherlands

Mailing Address:
P.O. Box 30461
2500 GL The Hague
The Netherlands
Tel.: +3170 342 0300
Fax: +3170 342 0359
Email: NYIL@asser.nl
Internet: www.asser.nl

Contents

Part I Jus Cogens: Quo Vadis?

1	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Humanization and Fragmentation of International Law	3
	Maarten den Heijer and Harmen van der Wilt	
1.1	Introduction	4
1.2	The <i>Jus Cogens</i> Debate	6
1.3	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and Human Rights	8
1.4	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Quest for Hierarchy	15
1.5	Conclusion	19
	References	20
2	Sherlock Holmes and the Mystery of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	23
	Dinah Shelton	
2.1	Introduction	24
2.2	The Origins of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	26
	2.2.1 Natural Law	27
	2.2.2 Logical or Legal Necessity	28
	2.2.3 General Principles of Law	30
	2.2.4 Consent	32
2.3	Functions of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	34
	2.3.1 Functions in the Law of Treaties	35
	2.3.2 Accountability	37
	2.3.3 Resolving Priorities Between Conflicting Norms	39
	2.3.4 Declaring Fundamental Values	42
2.4	Conclusions	46
	References	49
3	Understanding the <i>Jus Cogens</i> Debate: The Pervasive Influence of Legal Positivism and Legal Idealism	51
	Ulf Linderfalk	
3.1	Introduction	52

3.2	The Source of <i>Jus Cogens</i> Obligations.	56
3.2.1	Legal Positivism.	56
3.2.2	Legal Idealism.	58
3.3	The Role of Consent in the Creation and Modification of <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms	62
3.3.1	Legal Positivism.	62
3.3.2	Legal Idealism.	64
3.4	Identifying <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms	65
3.4.1	Legal Positivism.	65
3.4.2	Legal Idealism	68
3.5	The Scope of <i>Jus Cogens</i> : Delimiting the Concept	69
3.5.1	Legal Positivism.	69
3.5.2	Legal Idealism	71
3.6	The Function and Effects of the <i>Jus Cogens</i> Regime.	72
3.6.1	Legal Positivism.	73
3.6.2	Legal Idealism	74
3.7	The Function of <i>Jus Cogens</i> in International Legal Discourse.	74
3.7.1	The Meaning Potential of ‘ <i>Jus Cogens</i> ’	75
3.7.2	Legal Positivism and Legal Idealism	78
3.8	Conclusions	80
	References	81
4	<i>Jus Cogens</i> as a Social Construct Without Pedigree	85
	Jean d’Aspremont	
4.1	Introduction	86
4.1.1	The Premises: Post-ontological Nonchalance	86
4.1.2	The Argument: A Social Construction Without Pedigree	89
4.2	<i>Jus Cogens</i> as a Social Construct: Reasons for a Resounding Success	91
4.2.1	A More Systemic and Morally Cohesive International Legal Order	92
4.2.2	An Infinite World of Possibilities and Impossibilities: The Creative Pull of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	93
4.3	<i>Jus Cogens</i> as a Construct Without Pedigree	97
4.3.1	The Pedigree of <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms	98
4.3.2	The Pedigree of the <i>Jus Cogens</i> Mechanism and Avoidance-Techniques	102
4.4	Concluding Observations: The Two-Faceted International Lawyer	107
	References	109

5 Audience and Authority—The Merit of the Doctrine of *Jus Cogens* 115
 Alexander Orakhelashvili

5.1 Introduction 116

5.2 The Basis and Essence of Non-derogability from *Jus Cogens*. 118

5.2.1 Non-derogability Under the Vienna Convention 118

5.2.2 Non-derogability and the Material Content of *Jus Cogens* Rules 119

5.2.3 ‘Community Recognition’ of *Jus Cogens*, Non-derogability, and the Sources of International Law 122

5.2.4 Which Particular Source of Law?. 123

5.3 Objective Treaty Obligations and Their Relation to *Jus Cogens* 124

5.3.1 A Preliminary Issue: The Nature and Basis of *Erga Omnes* Obligations 124

5.3.2 Obligations *Erga Omnes* and Obligations *Erga Omnes Partes*. 125

5.3.3 Handling the Incidences of *Erga Omnes* Obligations in the ICJ Jurisprudence. 129

5.3.4 The Structure of the Rules and Obligations Under the 1949 Geneva Conventions 131

5.3.5 The *Erga Omnes Partes* Obligations Proper 133

5.4 The Application of *Jus Cogens* to Contested Areas 134

5.4.1 The Effect-Focused Rationale of *Jus Cogens*. 134

5.4.2 The Effect of *Jus Cogens* on the Immunities of States and Their Officials. 138

5.4.2.1 General Aspects 138

5.4.2.2 The Alleged Difference Between Criminal and Civil Proceedings. 139

5.4.2.3 Derogation from *Jus Cogens* Through the Claiming and Granting of State Immunity 140

5.4.2.4 State Practice in Balance 141

5.4.2.5 The Outcome on Immunities 142

5.5 Conclusion 143

References 144

6 Genesis, Function and Identification of *Jus Cogens* Norms 147
 Stefan Kadelbach

6.1 Introduction 148

6.2 Genesis and Present Shape. 150

6.2.1 *Jus Cogens* as a Theoretical Concept 150

6.2.2 State Practice 151

6.2.3	International Courts and Tribunals	153
6.2.3.1	Judgments Based on General International Law	153
6.2.3.2	Judgments Based on Human Rights.	156
6.2.3.3	International Criminal Tribunals	159
6.2.3.4	Interim Conclusion: Function and Identification of <i>Jus Cogens</i> in Court Practice.	161
6.3	Original and Derivative Functions of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	161
6.3.1	Functions in Positive Law	161
6.3.1.1	Nullifying Effect.	161
6.3.1.2	Enhanced Responsibility and <i>Erga Omnes</i> Effects.	162
6.3.1.3	The Relationship with International Criminal Law	163
6.3.1.4	Procedural Law.	164
6.3.1.5	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and Domestic Law.	164
6.3.2	The Function of <i>Jus Cogens</i> as a Legal Argument.	165
6.4	The Identification of <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms	166
6.4.1	Method	166
6.4.2	Examples	168
6.5	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Problem of Universal Validity	169
	References	170
7	<i>Jus Cogens</i> as the ‘Highest Law’? Peremptory Norms and Legal Hierarchies.	173
	Thomas Kleinlein	
7.1	Introduction	174
7.2	Concepts of Legal Hierarchy	176
7.2.1	Structural Hierarchy: Hierarchy According to the Conditions of Law-Making	177
7.2.2	Substantial Hierarchy: Hierarchy According to Derogatory Power.	177
7.2.3	Logical Hierarchy: Hierarchy as Different Levels of Language	178
7.2.4	Axiological Hierarchy: Hierarchy Based on the Importance of Contents	179
7.3	The Special Status of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	180
7.3.1	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Distinction Between Primary and Secondary Rules	180
7.3.2	Invalidity.	181
7.3.2.1	Invalidity of Treaties and the Concepts of Hierarchy	181
7.3.2.2	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and Resolutions of the Security Council of the United Nations	185

7.3.2.3	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Invalidity of Customary International Law	187
7.3.3	Non-derogability	189
7.3.3.1	Non-derogability and Exceptions	189
7.3.3.2	Non-derogability, <i>Jus Cogens</i> and Obligations <i>Erga Omnes</i>	191
7.3.3.3	Non-derogability, <i>Jus Cogens</i> and the UN Charter	193
7.3.4	Qualified Law-Making Procedure for <i>Jus Cogens</i>	194
7.3.5	Recognition of Moral Paramountcy	197
7.3.5.1	The Problem of Defining a Threshold	197
7.3.5.2	In Search of Indications for Recognised Moral Paramountcy	199
7.3.5.3	Axiological Hierarchy, <i>Jus Cogens</i> , Obligations <i>Erga Omnes</i> and the UN Charter	200
7.3.6	Further Attributes Defining the Special Status of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	202
7.4	Conclusion	205
	References	206
8	In Quest of the Practical Value of <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms	211
	Elizabeth Santalla Vargas	
8.1	Introduction	212
8.2	On the Customary Law Nature of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	213
8.3	On the Use and Misuse of the Normative Value of <i>Jus Cogens</i> in International Adjudication	218
8.4	<i>Jus Cogens</i> Effects Beyond Treaty Law	223
8.5	The Potential of <i>Jus Cogens Superveniens</i>	229
8.5.1	The Inter-temporal Law Doctrine and <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms	231
8.5.2	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Question of Separability of Treaty Provisions	233
8.6	Concluding Remarks	236
	References	237
9	Constitutional Conversations in the Anthropocene: In Search of Environmental <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms	241
	Louis J. Kotzé	
9.1	Introduction	242
9.2	The Potential Value of <i>Jus Cogens</i> Norms: A Brief Appraisal	246
9.3	Environmental <i>Jus Cogens</i> : Where Are We at?	248
9.3.1	Normative Superiority and Conflict Resolution	248
9.3.2	Environmental Damage, Overexploitation and International Crimes	249

- 9.3.3 Common Interest of the International Community 250
- 9.3.4 Judicial Development of Environmental *Jus Cogens*. . . . 251
- 9.4 Conceptual and Practical Obstacles to the Emergence
of Environmental *Jus Cogens* Norms 252
- 9.5 Thinking into the Future: Potentialities for Imagining
Environmental *Jus Cogens* Norms 256
 - 9.5.1 The Anthropocene as a Cognitive Framework 257
 - 9.5.2 Extending Existing *Jus Cogens* Norms into
the Environmental Domain. 260
 - 9.5.3 From Customary International Law to Environmental
Jus Cogens? 266
- 9.6 Conclusion 268
- References 268

**10 Non-refoulement as Custom and *Jus Cogens*? Putting
the Prohibition to the Test 273**

Cathryn Costello and Michelle Foster

- 10.1 Introduction 274
- 10.2 Understanding and Identifying *Jus Cogens* 276
 - 10.2.1 ‘Custom Plus’ 279
 - 10.2.2 Understanding Non-derogability 280
- 10.3 *Non-refoulement* as Customary International Law 282
 - 10.3.1 Non-refoulement in Contemporary Treaty Law 283
 - 10.3.2 *Opinio Juris* 286
 - 10.3.2.1 General Assembly Resolutions
and the Recognition of Non-refoulement 287
 - 10.3.2.2 Executive Committee of the UNHCR. 290
 - 10.3.2.3 Contrary Indications? 291
 - 10.3.3 State Practice 297
 - 10.3.4 Subsidiary Means for the Determination
of Customary International Law. 303
 - 10.3.5 The Scope of the Customary International
Law Norm of Non-refoulement 305
- 10.4 The Customary Norm of *Non-refoulement* as *Jus Cogens*. 306
- 10.5 What Difference Does *Jus Cogens* Character Make? 310
 - 10.5.1 Non-derogability 310
 - 10.5.2 *Jus Cogens* and the Law of Treaties 312
 - 10.5.2.1 Treaty-based Exceptions to
Non-refoulement—Article 33(2)
of the Refugee Convention 312
 - 10.5.2.2 Other Treaties 314
 - 10.5.3 State Responsibility 315
 - 10.5.4 Constraining the UN Security Council. 317
- 10.6 Does a Risk of a *Jus Cogens* Violation Create an Obligation
of Non-refoulement? 319

10.6.1	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and Positive Duties—Hierarchy Without Consequences	320
10.6.2	<i>Jus Cogens</i> Prohibitions and the Duty of Non-refoulement—A Brief Investigation.	321
10.6.2.1	Return to Face Torture	321
10.6.2.2	Return to Face Genocidal Violence	322
10.7	Conclusions	323
	References	324
11	Improving Compliance: <i>Jus Cogens</i> and International Economic Law	329
	Thomas Cottier	
11.1	Introduction	330
11.2	A Close Relationship	334
11.3	International Trade Regulation	336
11.3.1	The Linkage to Process and Production Methods (PPMs)	336
11.3.2	Protection of Labour Standards	339
11.3.2.1	Core labour Standards and <i>Jus Cogens</i>	339
11.3.2.2	Objections Raised.	340
11.3.2.3	Flanking Policies	341
11.3.3	Protection of Human Rights.	342
11.4	Investment Protection and Corporate Social Responsibility	344
11.4.1	Host States	344
11.4.2	Home States	345
11.5	Financial and Monetary Law	347
11.6	Respect for <i>Jus Cogens</i> as a Matter of Common Concern.	347
11.6.1	Most Fundamental Precepts of International Justice	347
11.6.2	The Emerging Principle of Common Concern.	349
11.6.2.1	In General	349
11.6.2.2	Lessons from International Economic Law.	350
11.6.2.3	Towards an Obligation to Act against Violations of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	351
11.7	Conclusions	353
	References	354
12	<i>Jus Cogens</i> in International Investment Law and Arbitration	357
	Valentina Vadi	
12.1	Introduction	358
12.2	The Interplay Between <i>Jus Cogens</i> and International Investment Law in Theory	362
12.2.1	Conflict of Norms.	362
12.2.2	<i>Jura Novit Curia</i>	363
12.2.3	<i>Jus Cogens</i> and Transnational Public Policy	366
12.3	The Interplay Between <i>Jus Cogens</i> and International Investment Law in Investment Treaty Arbitration	370

12.3.1	<i>Jus Cogens</i> Arguments Put Forward by the Investors.	371
12.3.2	<i>Jus Cogens</i> Arguments Put forward by the Host States.	372
12.3.3	The Interplay between <i>Jus Cogens</i> and International Public Order	377
12.4	Critical Assessment	380
12.5	Conclusions	382
	References	385
Part II	Dutch Practice in International Law	
	‘Dutch Practice in International Law’: An Introductory Note. . . .	391
13	Immunities of International Organizations Before Domestic Courts: Reflections on the Collective Labour Case Against the European Patent Organization	393
	Cedric Ryngaert	
13.1	Introduction	394
13.2	The Collective Labour Dispute Involving the European Patent Organization Before Dutch Courts	397
13.3	Immunity from Jurisdiction: Some Reflections	398
13.3.1	The ‘Additional Circumstances’ Test	399
13.3.2	The Standard of Manifest Deficiency.	402
13.3.3	The Normative Relationship Between Immunity Agreements and Human Rights	403
13.4	Immunity from Enforcement	404
13.5	Concluding Observations.	406
	References	408
14	Judicial Review on the Island of Saint Martin: An Example for The Kingdom of the Netherlands?	409
	Roel Schutgens and Joost Sillen	
14.1	Introduction	410
14.2	Animal Fights.	412
14.2.1	Judicial Review Against Fundamental Social Rights. . .	412
14.2.2	Possibility of Judicial Scrutiny of Animal Fight Licenses	415
14.3	Life Imprisonment	416
14.4	Conclusion	419
	References	420
15	Between Pretence and Practice: The Dutch Response to Recommendations of International Human Rights Bodies.	421
	Jasper Krommendijk	
15.1	Introduction	422
15.2	The Netherlands and International Human Rights Criticism. . . .	424

- 15.3 Developments Between October 2013 and October 2015 427
 - 15.3.1 Minimalistic or Non-ratification of UN Treaties and Protocols: OP-ICESCR, CRPD and OPCAT. 428
 - 15.3.2 The Report of the European Commissioner of Human Rights of 2014. 431
 - 15.3.3 Bed, Bath and Bread (BBB). 432
 - 15.3.4 Ethnic Profiling 434
 - 15.3.5 Human Rights Education 436
- 15.4 Conclusion 437
- References 438

- Table of Cases 439**
- Index. 451**