

International Criminal Justice Series

Volume 28

Series Editors

Gerhard Werle, Berlin, Germany

Moritz Vormbaum, Münster, Germany

Series Information

The *International Criminal Justice Series* aims to create a platform for publications covering the entire field of international criminal justice. It, therefore, deals with issues relating, among others, to:

- the work of international criminal courts and tribunals;
- transitional justice approaches in different countries;
- international anti-corruption and anti-money laundering initiatives;
- the history of international criminal law.

It is peer-reviewed and seeks to publish high-quality works emanating from excellent scholars.

Editorial Office

Prof. Dr. Gerhard Werle
Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin
Faculty of Law
Unter den Linden 6,
10099 Berlin, Germany
gerhard.werle@rewi.hu-berlin.de
vormbaum@uni-muenster.de

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/13470>

Gillian MacNeil

Legality Matters

Crimes Against Humanity and the Problems
and Promise of the Prohibition on Other
Inhumane Acts



ASSER PRESS



Springer

Gillian MacNeil
Faculty of Law
University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, MB, Canada

ISSN 2352-6718 ISSN 2352-6726 (electronic)
International Criminal Justice Series
ISBN 978-94-6265-442-6 ISBN 978-94-6265-443-3 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6265-443-3>

Published by T.M.C. ASSER PRESS, The Hague, The Netherlands www.asserpress.nl
Produced and distributed for T.M.C. ASSER PRESS by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

© T.M.C. ASSER PRESS and the author 2021

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

This T.M.C. ASSER PRESS imprint is published by the registered company Springer-Verlag GmbH, DE part of Springer Nature
The registered company address is: Heidelberger Platz 3, 14197 Berlin, Germany

Acknowledgements

It is a bit curious, but the most difficult element of this book to write may be this acknowledgment. Not because I have so few to thank, but because I have so many. The number of family, friends, mentors and colleagues who have unselfishly shared their wit and wisdom with me over many years is humbling. I have been, and remain, the grateful beneficiary of their grace, good humour and professionalism.

There are a few whom I wish to single out for particular thanks. First and foremost, my family who have always been available to listen and to help me put challenges in perspective. They have been unstinting in their encouragement. I am also grateful to Prof. Hugh Kindred (Emeritus) and Prof. Robert J. Currie for their early guidance, continued mentorship and unwavering friendship. A special thank you as well to Dr. Ksenia Polonskaya, Dr. Debra Haak, Dr. Lucie Guibault and Basil Alexander. Their support and willingness to share their time whenever I needed to discuss an issue or wanted a second pair of eyes to look over a draft have been invaluable.

This book began as a Ph.D. thesis. Neither would have been possible without the wisdom, expertise and encouragement of my supervisor Dr. Darryl Robinson. I am indebted to my Examining Committee members, Dr. Lisa Kerr, Dr. Noah Weisbord, Dr. J. Andrew Grant and Dr. Valerie Oosterveld, for their collegiality and insights. The questions and comments I received during my defence opened new intellectual doors: I simply could not have wished for a more helpful discussion or encouraging response.

I would also like to extend my gratitude to the anonymous reviewer whose comments assisted me in clarifying my thinking and, I hope, my expression of those ideas.

All errors remain my own.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 | Expanding on the Elements | 2 |
| 1.2.1 | CAH: Not for the ICC Alone | 2 |
| 1.2.2 | The Broader Questions: Rethinking Retroactive Criminal Law and the Role of Courts | 3 |
| 1.3 | Looking Ahead: The Structure of My Argument | 6 |
| 1.4 | Conclusion | 7 |
| | References | 7 |
| 2 | Theoretical Underpinnings: Understanding the Prohibition on Retroactive Criminal Law | 9 |
| 2.1 | Introduction and Overview | 10 |
| 2.2 | Preliminary Matters | 12 |
| 2.2.1 | Fuller: A Theory for All Systems | 12 |
| 2.2.2 | Legitimacy and Legality | 13 |
| 2.3 | Fuller’s Account: The Process of Law and the Legitimacy of the Law | 14 |
| 2.3.1 | Legitimate Law-Making is Reciprocal | 14 |
| 2.3.2 | Legal Subject as Law-Making Agent | 15 |
| 2.3.3 | A Duty to Obey Arises from Reciprocity | 15 |
| 2.3.4 | Reciprocity and Legitimacy; Focus on Process, Not Results | 16 |
| 2.3.5 | The Elements that Reciprocity Demands | 16 |
| 2.3.6 | When Process Fails | 17 |
| 2.3.7 | Implications: A Closer Look at the Details of Law-Making | 17 |
| 2.3.8 | The Place of Retroactive Laws in a Legitimate Legal System | 18 |
| 2.3.9 | Clarifying Fuller’s Theory: Retroactive Criminal Laws May Be Permissible | 20 |
| 2.3.10 | What Remains? | 21 |

- 2.4 Summary 22
- 2.5 Implications for the Process of Law-Making 23
- 2.6 Application to International Criminal Law 25
- References 25
- 3 The Prohibition on Retroactive Criminal Law in International Criminal Law 27**
 - 3.1 Introduction 28
 - 3.2 Preliminary Matters 29
 - 3.2.1 My Sources Explained 29
 - 3.2.2 The Prohibition on Retroactive Criminal Law: A Variable Concept 31
 - 3.3 Prologue: The Principle Prior to Nuremberg 33
 - 3.3.1 Overview 33
 - 3.3.2 The Prohibition Was Not a Treaty Norm 34
 - 3.3.3 The Prohibition Was Not a Norm of Customary International Law 36
 - 3.3.4 The Prohibition Was Not a General Principle of Law 38
 - 3.3.5 A Brief Overview of Divergent Conceptions and Practice 39
 - 3.3.6 Summary 45
 - 3.4 The Opening Movement: The Acceptance of the Prohibition in International Criminal Law 45
 - 3.4.1 Role of the International Military Tribunal 45
 - 3.5 The International Courts Were Sources of Law 48
 - 3.6 Subsequent Clarifications 49
 - 3.6.1 The Nuremberg Military Tribunals Have a Say 49
 - 3.6.2 Summary 51
 - 3.7 IHRL Developments: The Contribution of Human Rights Law to the Prohibition on Retroactive Criminal Law 51
 - 3.8 Recent ICL Developments: Clarifying the Prohibition in Customary and Conventional International Criminal Law 54
 - 3.8.1 Overview 54
 - 3.8.2 Customary Law Developments: The Contributions of the *Ad Hoc* Tribunals 55
 - 3.8.3 The Special Court for Sierra Leone: Agreement with the ICTY 59
 - 3.8.4 Summarizing the Tribunals’ Position 59
 - 3.8.5 The International Criminal Court: Rome Provides a Different View 60
 - 3.8.6 The Conventional Approach and Customary Law 60
 - 3.9 The Customary Prohibition Unpacked 61
 - 3.9.1 The Outlines of the Prohibition: The Content Clarified 61

- 3.10 The Departures: The Permissible Categories of Retroactive Criminal Law 63
 - 3.10.1 Clarifications of General Prohibitions 63
 - 3.10.2 The ECtHR in Support: The Case of *CR v. UK* 64
 - 3.10.3 The *CR* Case in Domestic Courts: Background 64
 - 3.10.4 The *CR* Case in Domestic Courts: The Trial 65
 - 3.10.5 The *CR* Case in Domestic Courts: The First Appeal 66
 - 3.10.6 The *CR* Case in Domestic Courts: A Second Appeal 67
 - 3.10.7 The *CR* Case: An Analysis of the Decisions 67
- 3.11 The Implications of the *CR* Decisions 69
 - 3.11.1 Looking at *CR* Through a Fullerian Lens 69
 - 3.11.2 The Clarification Exception: Further Support 70
 - 3.11.3 Elaborations of the Law: Another Acceptable Exception to the Prohibition 71
 - 3.11.4 Acceptable (Accepted) Elaborations in Early ICL Developments 72
 - 3.11.5 Recently Accepted Elaborations: Confirmation of the Acceptability of the Practice 73
 - 3.11.6 An Explanation of Why Elaborations Are Acceptable 74
- 3.12 Critiquing the Tribunals Approach: Lessons from Fuller 74
- References 77
- 4 Crimes Against Humanity: Introduction, Development and Acceptance 81**
 - 4.1 Introduction 82
 - 4.2 The Evolution of Crimes Against Humanity 84
 - 4.2.1 Overview 84
 - 4.2.2 The IHL origins of Crimes Against Humanity: Pre-World War II Developments 85
 - 4.2.3 World War II and Post-War Developments 87
 - 4.2.4 International and National Advance and Harmonization 97
 - 4.3 Explaining the Adherence 102
 - 4.3.1 Crimes Against Humanity: Retroactive Criminal Laws 102
 - 4.3.2 The Acceptance, and Acceptability of Crimes Against Humanity: A Look Through a Fullerian Lens 105
 - 4.3.3 The Problem of Opposite Notice 109
 - 4.3.4 Summary 115
 - 4.4 A Final Point 115
 - References 116

5 Other Inhumane Acts: Development and Critique 119

5.1 Introduction 120

5.2 Terminology 122

5.3 Contrasting the Articulations 122

5.4 Interpreting the Instruments: From Characterization
to Consistency 123

5.4.1 Introduction 123

5.4.2 The Early Characterizations 124

5.4.3 Evolving Analyses 125

5.5 Comparing and Critiquing the Interpretation 131

5.5.1 Methodological Flaws 131

5.5.2 Identifying Some Deeper Problems 138

5.5.3 Possible Alternatives to the Current Approach 144

5.6 Re-thinking the Residual Clause: The Problem of Its Nature 145

5.6.1 Introduction 145

5.6.2 The Gravity Requirement: An Opening to Uncertain
Applications of the Law 146

5.6.3 Arguing for a New Understanding 147

5.6.4 Re-reading the Clause: An Invitation,
not a Prohibition 148

5.6.5 The Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties:
Why More Is Needed 150

5.7 A Look Through a Fullerian Lens 151

References 153

**6 A New Way Forward: Advocating a Fullerian Approach
to Other Inhumane Acts** 155

6.1 Introduction 156

6.2 Outline 157

6.3 Courts as Law-Makers 159

6.4 Articulating a New Framework 162

6.4.1 Identifying the Elements 162

6.4.2 Defining Inhumanity 163

6.5 Inhumanity: A Criminal Law Look 172

6.5.1 Introduction 172

6.5.2 Inhumanity: The Proper Focus 173

6.5.3 Victim Focus: Should Elements Be Divisible? 174

6.5.4 A Case Study: Deportation as Dehumanization 179

6.6 Developing Identifiers 180

6.6.1 Introduction 180

6.6.2 Bodily Harm as an Indicator 180

6.6.3 Mental Harm: An Alternative Indication 181

6.6.4 Denial of Choice; A Possible Indicator 182

6.7 Summary 184

6.8 Justifying the New Approach 184

| | | |
|--------------|--|------------|
| 6.9 | Identifying the Elements | 186 |
| 6.9.1 | Introduction | 186 |
| 6.9.2 | Identifying the Elements: Essential on Any Approach | 186 |
| 6.10 | The Evidence and Process | 189 |
| 6.11 | Conclusion | 190 |
| 6.12 | Some Final Thoughts | 190 |
| | References | 192 |
| Index | | 195 |

Abbreviations

| | |
|--------|--|
| ACHR | American Convention on Human Rights |
| AFRC | Armed Forces Revolutionary Council |
| CAH | Crimes against humanity |
| CDF | Civil Defence Forces |
| ECCC | Extraordinary Chambers of the Courts of Cambodia |
| ECHR | Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms |
| ECOSOC | Economic and Social Council |
| ECtHR | European Court of Human Rights |
| ICC | International Criminal Court |
| ICCPR | International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights |
| ICESCR | International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights |
| ICJ | International Court of Justice |
| ICL | International criminal law |
| ICTR | International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda |
| ICTY | International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia |
| IMT | International Military Tribunal |
| IMTFE | International Military Tribunal for the Far East |
| PCIJ | Permanent Court of International Justice |
| PIL | Public international law |
| PoWs | Prisoners of war |
| PTC | Pre-Trial Chamber |
| RUF | Revolutionary United Front |
| SCSL | Special Court for Sierra Leone |
| UDHR | Universal Declaration of Human Rights |
| UK | United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland |
| US | United States of America |
| USSR | Union of Soviet Socialist Republics |