

Information Technology and Law Series

Volume 34

Editor-in-Chief

Simone van der Hof, eLaw (Center for Law and Digital Technologies),
Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands

Series Editors

Bibi van den Berg, Institute for Security and Global Affairs (ISGA),
Leiden University, The Hague, The Netherlands

Gloria González Fuster, Law, Science, Technology & Society Studies (LSTS),
Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), Brussels, Belgium

Eleni Kosta, Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology, and Society (TILT),
Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands

Eva Lievens, Faculty of Law, Law & Technology, Ghent University,
Ghent, Belgium

Bendert Zevenbergen, Center for Information Technology Policy,
Princeton University, Princeton, USA

More information about this series at <https://link.springer.com/bookseries/8857>

Felix Bieker

The Right to Data Protection

Individual and Structural Dimensions of Data
Protection in EU Law



ASSER PRESS



Springer

Felix Bieker
Office of the Data Protection Commissioner
Schleswig-Holstein
Kiel, Germany

ISSN 1570-2782 ISSN 2215-1966 (electronic)
Information Technology and Law Series
ISBN 978-94-6265-502-7 ISBN 978-94-6265-503-4 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6265-503-4>

Published by T.M.C. ASSER PRESS, The Hague, The Netherlands www.asserpress.nl
Produced and distributed for T.M.C. ASSER PRESS by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

© T.M.C. ASSER PRESS and the author 2022

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

This T.M.C. ASSER PRESS imprint is published by the registered company Springer-Verlag GmbH, DE part of Springer Nature.

The registered company address is: Heidelberger Platz 3, 14197 Berlin, Germany

Series Information

The *Information Technology & Law Series* was an initiative of ITeR, the national programme for information Technology and Law, which was a research programme set up by the Dutch government and The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) in The Hague. Since 1995 ITeR has published all of its research results in its own book series. In 2002 ITeR launched the present internationally orientated and English language *Information Technology & Law Series*. This well-established series deals with the implications of information technology for legal systems and institutions. Manuscripts and related correspondence can be sent to the Series' Editorial Office, which will also gladly provide more information concerning editorial standards and procedures.

Editorial Office

T.M.C. Asser Press
P.O. Box 30461
2500 GL The Hague
The Netherlands
Tel.: +31-70-3420310
e-mail: press@asser.nl

Simone van der Hof, Editor-in-Chief
Leiden University, eLaw (Center for Law and Digital Technologies)
The Netherlands

Bibi van den Berg
Leiden University, Institute for Security and Global Affairs (ISGA)
The Netherlands

Gloria González Fuster
Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), Law, Science,
Technology & Society Studies (LSTS)
Belgium

Eleni Kosta
Tilburg University, Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology, and Society (TILT)
The Netherlands

Eva Lievens
Ghent University, Faculty of Law, Law & Technology
Belgium

Bendert Zevenbergen
Princeton University, Center for Information Technology Policy
USA

Acknowledgements

This book started out as my doctoral thesis, submitted to the University of Kiel in December 2019, with the defence taking place in May 2021. Case law and literature were updated for publication in August 2021.

I would like to thank my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Kerstin von der Decken, who provided helpful advice. Further, I would like to thank Prof. Dr. Andreas von Arnould for his comments and thoughts on my topic and perspective.

I was in the lucky and privileged position to embark on and finish this project only due to an expansive network of support. This fills me with gratitude for the many wonderful people in my life.

This project would not have been possible without the insights I gained working at the Office of the Data Protection Commissioner Schleswig-Holstein (ULD). I had the great fortune of learning about data protection from Marit Hansen and Martin Rost, who have both shaped my understanding and sharpened my sense of purpose. While they made this process more than just a one-directional exchange and were eager for my input, I am deeply indebted to both of them for their mentorship. I greatly appreciate the vast amount of trust, which Marit has shown consistently throughout these years.

There were many other outstanding people in this stimulating environment: I would like to specifically thank Rasmus Robrahn, Benjamin Bremert, Kirsten Bock as well as Dr. Malte Engeler for their insightful feedback or discussions at various stages of this process. However, as I could not possibly name everybody who contributed in one way or another to my understanding of data protection, thanks especially to the current and former members of the *Kaffeerunde*.

Beyond ULD, there have been many people who have engaged with my work and have helped me learn and grow in different ways. Dr. Jörg Pohle provided valuable feedback on my ideas for this project. I was very fortunate to be able to discuss parts of this work with Eleanor Sharpston, Q.C. I would also like to thank Professor Charles Raab for his feedback on aspects of this book. And I am still grateful for Professor Niamh NicShuibhne's outstanding classes at the University of Edinburgh, which laid important foundations for my understanding of EU law and let me appreciate AG Opinions.

Through my work I also had the chance to engage with many interesting and insightful people at conferences, workshops and meetings. I would like to thank Dr. Dara Hallinan, Dr. Nóra Ni Loideain and Prof. Dr. Gloria González Fuster for some great discussions.

I am also thankful for friends found during this intense phase of my life, who shared thoughts, dinners, rants and exasperation. Katharina Wommelsdorff and Isabelle Haßfurth have been particularly good companions in this endeavour. With them, Sarah Sultan, Chris Shaheen and Anna Kostekidou I was also lucky to take inspired and inspiring breaks.

I would not have been able to pursue this project without the foundations laid by my parents, Barbara and Ullrich. My brother, Fabian, raised my awareness to the issue of data protection very early on, for instance by handing down his copies of *Datenschleuder*.

My parents and Erika Theilen I owe many thanks for their ideational support and the invisible labour they performed during the intense phases of writing.

And lastly, and most importantly, thanks to Jens. Going through this process as well as breaking out of it together at times, has kept me on track over the years.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Data Protection and the Issue of Data Processing	1
1.2	Terminology	4
1.3	EU Data Protection Legislation	6
1.4	The Right to Data Protection: The Jurisprudence of the CJEU	7
1.5	The Right to Data Protection: The Current Doctrinal Discourse	8
1.6	The Right to Data Protection: The Dualistic Approach	9
	References	11
2	EU Data Protection Legislation	13
2.1	Introduction	14
2.2	Primary EU Data Protection Legislation	14
2.2.1	Article 8 CFR: A Reverse-Engineered Fundamental Right of EU Law	14
2.2.2	Article 16 TFEU and Article 39 TEU	17
2.3	Secondary EU Data Protection Legislation	19
2.3.1	Objective and Scope	19
2.3.2	Principles of the EU Data Protection Legislation	20
2.3.3	Further Provisions of the EU Data Protection Legislation	33
2.4	Conclusions on the EU Data Protection Legislation	42
	References	43
3	The Right to Data Protection: The Jurisprudence of the CJEU	47
3.1	Introduction	48
3.2	Case Law on Article 8(1) and (2) CFR	48
3.2.1	Early Cases	49
3.2.2	Article 8 ECHR with a Twist	51
3.2.3	Moving Beyond the ECtHR	57
3.2.4	Article 8 ECHR with Reference to the Charter: Promusicae	63
3.2.5	Reference to Article 1 DPD	65

3.2.6	The Charter as Standard: Schecke and Eifert and Deutsche Telekom AG	69
3.2.7	Assessing Article 8 CFR Exclusively: The Internet-Monitoring Cases	73
3.2.8	Frictions and Factions: The Recent Case Law	76
3.2.9	Conclusions on the Case Law on Article 8(1) and (2) CFR	120
3.3	Case Law on Article 8(1) and (3) CFR	124
3.3.1	Independence of the Supervisory Authorities	125
3.3.2	Substantive Right of Individuals	129
3.3.3	Conclusions on the Case Law on Article 8(1) and (3) CFR	131
3.4	Conclusions on the Case Law of the CJEU	131
	References	132
4	The Right to Data Protection: The Current Doctrinal Discourse	143
4.1	Introduction	144
4.2	The Transparency-Focused Approach	146
4.3	The Narrow Self-determination Approach	149
4.4	The Commodification Approach	152
4.5	The Privacy Approach	154
4.5.1	A Combined Right of Article 8 in Conjunction with Article 7 CFR	154
4.5.2	Article 8 CFR as Right to Data Protection Legislation	156
4.5.3	Horizontal Application of Article 8 CFR	157
4.6	The Individualistic Approach	157
4.6.1	The Rationale of Data Protection	158
4.6.2	The Essence of the Right to Data Protection and its Balance with Other Rights	159
4.7	The Top-Down Approach	160
4.7.1	Interferences with the Right to Data Protection	161
4.7.2	The Essence of the Right to Data Protection	161
4.8	The Checks and Balances Approach	162
4.8.1	The Rationale of Data Protection	162
4.8.2	The Scope of the Right to Data Protection	164
4.8.3	Interferences with the Right to Data Protection	165
4.8.4	Justifications for Interferences	168
4.8.5	Horizontal Application of the Right to Data Protection	169
4.8.6	Conclusions on the Checks and Balances Approach	169
4.9	Conclusions on the Current Doctrinal Discourse	170
	References	172

- 5 The Right to Data Protection: The Dualistic Approach** 175
 - 5.1 Introduction 176
 - 5.2 The Dualistic Approach: Data Protection Law as Answer to the Issue of Data Processing 178
 - 5.2.1 Critique of Privacy and Need for Data Protection 178
 - 5.2.2 The Development of the Notion of Data Protection by Steinmüller, Podlech and Others 180
 - 5.2.3 The Duality of EU Data Protection Law: Individual and Structural Data Protection Law 186
 - 5.2.4 Interim Conclusions: A Dualistic Approach to Data Protection 192
 - 5.3 The Application of the Dualistic Approach to the Secondary EU Data Protection Legislation: Uncovering the Secondary EU Data Protection Law 194
 - 5.3.1 Rationales of the Secondary EU Data Protection Legislation: Data Protection Law and Beyond 194
 - 5.3.2 Deriving the Fundamental Principles from the Secondary EU Data Protection Law 205
 - 5.3.3 The Fundamental Principles of EU Data Protection Law 222
 - 5.4 The Application of the Dualistic Approach to the Primary EU Data Protection Legislation: Uncovering the EU Fundamental Right to Data Protection 225
 - 5.4.1 The Right to Data Protection as Part of a Value System 226
 - 5.4.2 The Rationale of the Right to Data Protection 229
 - 5.4.3 The Content of the Right to Data Protection 240
 - 5.4.4 The Horizontal Application of the Right to Data Protection 254
 - 5.4.5 Differentiating the Right to Data Protection from the Right to Privacy 258
 - 5.5 Conclusions on the Right to Data Protection in EU Law 264
 - References 266
- Bibliography** 277
- Index** 297

Abbreviations

AFSJ	Area of Freedom, Security and Justice
AG	Advocate General
AI	Artificial Intelligence
App. No.	Application Number
BCBP	Bureau of Customs and Borders Protection
BDSG	Bundesdatenschutzgesetz (Federal Data Protection Act)
BT-Drs.	Bundestags-Drucksache (Official Records of the German Federal Parliament)
BVerfG	Bundesverfassungsgericht (German Federal Constitutional Court)
BVerfGE	Entscheidungssammlung des Bundesverfassungsgerichts (Official Records of the German Federal Constitutional Court)
<i>BYIL</i>	<i>British Yearbook of International Law</i>
Cf.	Confer
CFI	Court of First Instance of the European Union
CFR	Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union
CFSP	Common Foreign and Security Policy
<i>CILJ</i>	<i>Cambridge International Law Journal</i>
CJEU	Court of Justice of the European Union
<i>CLSLR</i>	<i>Computer Law & Security Law Review</i>
<i>CMLRev</i>	<i>Common Market Law Review</i>
CoE	Council of Europe
CUP	Cambridge University Press
<i>CYELS</i>	<i>Cambridge Yearbook of European Legal Studies</i>
<i>DÖV</i>	<i>Die Öffentliche Verwaltung</i>
DPC	Data Protection Convention
DPD	Data Protection Directive
DPIA	Data Protection Impact Assessment
DPR-EC	Data Protection Regulation for the European Community
DPR-EU	Data Protection Regulation for the European Union

DRD	Data Retention Directive
<i>DuD</i>	<i>Datenschutz und Datensicherheit</i>
<i>DVBl</i>	<i>Deutsches Verwaltungsblatt</i>
<i>DVR</i>	<i>Datenverarbeitung im Recht</i>
e.g.	Exempli gratia
EC	European Community
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECJ	European Court of Justice
ECtHR [GC]	Grand Chamber of the European Court of Human Rights
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
edn.	Edition
EDPB	European Data Protection Board
<i>EDPL</i>	<i>European Data Protection Law Review</i>
EDPS	European Data Protection Supervisor
EDPS	European Data Protection Supervisor
EEC	European Economic Community
<i>EJLT</i>	<i>European Journal of Law and Technology</i>
<i>EJRR</i>	<i>European Journal of Risk Regulation</i>
EL	Ergänzungslieferung (Supplement)
<i>ELRev</i>	<i>European Law Review</i>
ENISA	European Union Agency for Cybersecurity (formerly European Union Network and Information Security Agency)
ePD	ePrivacy Directive
ePR	ePrivacy Regulation
et seq./et seqq.	Et sequentes
ETS	European Treaty Series
EU	European Union
<i>EuConst</i>	<i>European Constitutional Law Review</i>
<i>Eur Law J.</i>	<i>European Law Journal</i>
<i>EuR</i>	<i>Europarecht</i>
<i>EuZW</i>	<i>Europäische Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftsrecht</i>
GC	General Court
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation
<i>GLJ</i>	<i>German Law Journal</i>
<i>GYIL</i>	<i>German Yearbook of International Law</i>
i.e.	Id est
Ibid.	Ibidem
<i>ICLQ</i>	<i>International and Comparative Law Quarterly</i>
<i>IDPL</i>	<i>International Data Privacy Law</i>
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
<i>IJLIT</i>	<i>International Journal of Law and Information Technology</i>
IP	Internet Protocol
<i>IPRev</i>	<i>Internet Policy Review</i>
ISP	Internet Service Provider
IT	Information Technology

JHA	Justice and Home Affairs Council
<i>JR</i>	<i>Juristische Rundschau</i>
<i>JZ</i>	<i>Juristenzeitung</i>
LED	Law Enforcement Directive
LGBTIQ	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans, Inter, Queer
MIT	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
<i>MJ</i>	<i>Maastricht Journal</i>
<i>MLR</i>	<i>The Modern Law Review</i>
<i>MMR</i>	<i>MultiMedia und Recht</i>
MN.	Marginal Note
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
<i>NILR</i>	<i>Netherlands International Law Review</i>
<i>NJW</i>	<i>Neue Juristische Wochenschrift</i>
<i>NVwZ</i>	<i>Neue Zeitschrift für Verwaltungsrecht</i>
OJ	Official Journal of the European Union
OUP	Oxford University Press
<i>ÖVD</i>	<i>Öffentliche Verwaltung und Datenverarbeitung</i>
p.	Page
para.	Paragraph
paras.	Paragraphs
PNR	Passenger Name Records
pp.	Pages
<i>RDV</i>	<i>Recht der Datenverarbeitung</i>
SA	Supervisory Authority
SCC	Standard Contractual Clauses
SIM	Subscriber Identification Module
<i>Stan. L. Review</i>	<i>Stanford Law Review</i>
TEU	Treaty on European Union
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
<i>U. Pa. L. Rev.</i>	<i>University of Pennsylvania Law Review</i>
UK	United Kingdom
<i>UNSW Law Journal</i>	<i>University of New South Wales Law Journal</i>
URL	Uniform Resource Locator
US	United States
viz.	Videlicet
<i>ZD</i>	<i>Zeitschrift für Datenschutz</i>
<i>ZEuS</i>	<i>Zeitschrift für Europarechtliche Studien</i>