

Information Technology and Law Series

Volume 41

Editor-in-Chief

Bart Custers, eLaw, Center for Law and Digital Technologies, Leiden University,
Leiden, The Netherlands

Series Editors

Jef Ausloos, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Stephan Dreyer, Leibniz-Institut für Medienforschung, Hamburg, Germany

Gloria González Fuster, Law, Science, Technology & Society Studies (LSTS),
Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), Brussels, Belgium

Inge Graef, University of Tilburg, Tilburg, The Netherlands

Aleksandra Kuczerawy, Centre for IT and IP, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

Eva Lievens, Faculty of Law, Law & Technology, Ghent University, Ghent,
Belgium

Aurelia Tamò-Larrieux, Faculty of Law, Criminal Sciences and Public
Administration, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

The *Information Technology and Law Series* was an initiative of ITeR, the national programme for information Technology and Law, which was a research programme set up by the Dutch government and The Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) in The Hague. Since 1995 ITeR has published all of its research results in its own book series. In 2002 ITeR launched the present internationally orientated and English language *Information Technology and Law Series*. This well-established series deals with the implications of information technology for legal systems and institutions. Manuscripts and related correspondence can be sent to the Series' Editorial Office, which will also gladly provide more information concerning editorial standards and procedures.

Laurensia Andrini

Protecting Artificial Intelligence-generated Works in Indonesia

Insights from the European Union Approach



ASSER PRESS



Springer

Laurensia Andrini
Faculty of Law, Business Law Department
Universitas Gadjah Mada
Yogyakarta, Indonesia

ISSN 1570-2782 ISSN 2215-1966 (electronic)
Information Technology and Law Series
ISBN 978-94-6265-702-1 ISBN 978-94-6265-703-8 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6265-703-8>

This work was supported by the Australia Awards Scholarship/Faculty of Law, Universitas Gadjah Mada.

Published by T.M.C. ASSER PRESS, The Hague, The Netherlands www.asserpress.nl
Produced and distributed for T.M.C. ASSER PRESS by Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg

© T.M.C. ASSER PRESS and the author 2025

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

This T.M.C. ASSER PRESS imprint is published by the registered company Springer-Verlag GmbH, DE, part of Springer Nature.

The registered company address is: Heidelberger Platz 3, 14197 Berlin, Germany

If disposing of this product, please recycle the paper.

To Ozzi, Oswald and Redwald

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Dr. Allison Fish and Professor Brad Sherman from the University of Queensland, Australia, who have provided useful guidance in developing this manuscript. Dr. Fish and Professor Sherman also played pivotal roles in keeping this manuscript consistent and logical.

Contents

1	Comparative Approaches to Copyright Protection for AI-generated Works in the European Union and Indonesia	1
1.1	Challenges Posed by Artificial Intelligence-generated Works to Copyright Law	2
1.2	The European Union's Approach to AI-generated Works	7
1.3	Indonesia's Approach to AI-generated Works	8
1.4	Comparing the European Union's Approach to that of Indonesia	10
1.5	Why a Focus on the Indonesian Context?	11
	References	14
2	Background Information on Indonesian Copyright Law and Generative AI	17
2.1	Introduction	18
2.2	The Relevance of Indonesia's Copyright Law to a Broader Audience	18
2.2.1	Reconfiguring Indonesia: From Site of Tradition and Piracy to Site of Creative Activity	19
2.2.2	The Imprint of Plural Legal Traditions on Indonesian Copyright Law: Challenges and Opportunities for Thinking Creatively About the Regulation of AI-generated Works	21
2.2.3	Indonesia Today: National Reliance on Information Technology	23
2.3	Background Information on Artificial Intelligence and AI-generated Works	25
2.3.1	Artificial Intelligence and Generative AI	25
2.3.2	The Process Involved in Creating AI-generated Works	26
	References	30

3	Why Protect AI-generated Works?	33
3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	Philosophical Justifications of Intellectual Property Rights and Copyright	35
3.3	Copyright and Technological Change: Application to Computer-generated Works	39
	References	45
4	Moral and Economic Rights in Artificial Intelligence-generated Works	49
4.1	Introduction	50
4.2	Overview of Indonesian and EU Copyright Law	51
4.2.1	Copyright Protection Requirements	51
4.2.2	Authorship	52
4.2.3	Originality/Creativity	53
4.3	Economic Rights and Moral Rights	56
4.3.1	Economic Rights	56
4.3.2	Moral Rights	59
4.4	Conclusion	63
	References	63
5	AI-generated Works and the Notion of Authorship	67
5.1	Introduction	68
5.2	The Creation of AI-generated Works and the Challenges This Poses for Copyright Law	70
5.3	Development of the Concept of Authorship	71
5.3.1	Authorship: From Guild System to Modern Copyright System	71
5.3.2	Romantic Authorship	74
5.3.3	Criticism of Romantic Authorship	75
5.4	The Concept of Authorship in Indonesia and its Correlation to AI-generated Works	77
5.5	Conclusion	80
	References	81
6	<i>Sui Generis</i> Protection for AI-generated Works	83
6.1	Introduction	84
6.2	<i>Sui Generis</i> Right for Traditional Cultural Expressions and AI-generated Works	86
6.2.1	<i>Sui Generis</i> Protection for Traditional Cultural Expressions	88
6.2.2	Using <i>Sui Generis</i> Traditional Cultural Expressions Protection as a Model for AI-generated Works	89
6.3	Database Rights and AI-generated Works	91
6.3.1	The First Edge of the Sword: The Database Right as a Model for Protecting AI-generated Works	91

6.3.2	Copyright Protection of Databases	92
6.3.3	The Second Edge of the Sword: Database Protection <i>vis-à-vis</i> the Creation of AI-generated Works	99
6.4	Fair Use	100
6.5	Regulatory Exceptions Justifying Text and Data Mining	102
6.6	Lessons Learned for Indonesia	104
6.7	Conclusion	104
	References	105
7	Neighbouring Rights Protection for AI-generated Works	109
7.1	Introduction	110
7.2	Key Features of Neighbouring Rights for Sound Recordings and Broadcasts	111
7.2.1	Phonogram Producers' Rights	112
7.2.2	Broadcasters' Rights	114
7.3	A New Type of Neighbouring Rights for AI-generated Works?	116
7.3.1	Neighbouring Right Holders	117
7.3.2	Rights for the Neighbouring Rights Holder	118
7.3.3	Duration of Protection	119
7.4	Conclusion	120
	References	122
8	Regulatory Options to Accommodate AI-generated Works	123
8.1	Examining Approaches within Copyright and Neighbouring Rights	123
8.2	Adapting Neighbouring Rights to Protect AI-generated Works	126
	References	128
	Index	129

Abbreviations and Symbols

AI	Artificial Intelligence
AUD	Australian dollar
BBC	British Broadcasting Company
BPPT	<i>Badan Pengkajian dan Penerapan Teknologi</i>
CD	Compact Disc
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CERN	European Council for Nuclear Research
CMO	Collective Management Organisation
COVID-19	Coronavirus Disease 2019
DSM	Digital Single Market
EU	European Union
EUR	Euro
GANs	Generative Adversarial Networks
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
GDP	Gross Domestic Products
GSP	Generalised System of Preferences
HBO	Home Box Office
IDR	Indonesian Rupiah
IFPV	International Federation of Phonogram and Videogram
IIPA	International Intellectual Property Alliance
IP	Intellectual Property
LLM	Large Language Model
NCMO	National Collective Management Organisation
NRIA	National Research and Innovation Agency
TCE	Traditional Cultural Expressions
TKTCE	Traditional Knowledge and Traditional Cultural Expressions
TRIPs	Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights
TV	Television
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States
USA	United States of America

USD	United States dollar
USTR	United States Trade Representative
VCD	Video Compact Disc
VHS	Video Home System
WIPO	World Intellectual Property Organization
WTO	World Trade Organization

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	Copyright law's options in accommodating AI-generated works <i>Source</i> The author	9
Fig. 2.1	Learning process of generative AI model <i>Source</i> The author	28
Fig. 5.1	The creation of computer-generated works <i>Source</i> The author	71