

European Yearbook of Constitutional Law

Volume 6

Series Editors

Ingrid Leijten, Department of Public Law and Governance, Tilburg Law School, Tilburg, The Netherlands

Jurgen de Poorter, Department of Public Law and Governance, Tilburg Law School, Tilburg, The Netherlands

Gerhard van der Schyff, Department of Public Law and Governance, Tilburg Law School, Tilburg, The Netherlands

Maarten Stremmer, Faculty of Law, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

Maartje De Visser, Yong Pung How School of Law, Singapore Management University, Singapore, Singapore

Charlotte van Oirsouw, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Managing Editor

Sophie Vonk, Department of Public Law and Governance, Tilburg Law School, Tilburg, The Netherlands

The *European Yearbook of Constitutional Law* (EYCL) is an annual publication devoted to the study of constitutional law. The yearbook provides a forum for in-depth analysis and discussion of new developments in constitutional law in Europe and beyond. The founding editors were Professor Ernst Hirsch Ballin and Dr Gerhard van der Schyff. Each issue is dedicated to a specific theme. Papers are subject to editorial and double-blind peer review. The yearbook is published by T.M.C. ASSER PRESS in cooperation with Springer Publishers.

Contact

To get in touch, please send an e-mail to eycl@tilburguniversity.edu

Maartje De Visser · Ingrid Leijten ·
Jurgen de Poorter · Gerhard van der Schyff ·
Maarten Stremmer · Sophie Vonk
Editors

European Yearbook of Constitutional Law 2024

Varieties of Constitutionalism



ASSER PRESS



Springer

Editors

Maartje De Visser
Yong Pung How School of Law
SMU School of Law
Singapore, Singapore

Ingrid Leijten
Department of Public Law and Governance
Tilburg Law School
Tilburg, The Netherlands

Jurgen de Poorter
Department of Public Law and Governance
Tilburg Law School
Tilburg, The Netherlands

Gerhard van der Schyff
Department of Public Law and Governance
Tilburg Law School
Tilburg, The Netherlands

Maarten Stremmer
Faculty of Law, Department of Public Law
Maastricht University
Maastricht, The Netherlands

Sophie Vonk
Department of Public Law and Governance
Tilburg Law School
Tilburg, The Netherlands

ISSN 2405-6111

ISSN 2405-612X (electronic)

European Yearbook of Constitutional Law

ISBN 978-94-6265-698-7

ISBN 978-94-6265-699-4 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6265-699-4>

© T.M.C. ASSER PRESS and the authors 2025

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

This T.M.C. ASSER PRESS imprint is published by the registered company Springer-Verlag GmbH, DE, part of Springer Nature.

The registered company address is: Heidelberger Platz 3, 14197 Berlin, Germany

If disposing of this product, please recycle the paper.

Contents

1 Introduction: Reflections on Varieties of Constitutionalism	1
Maartje De Visser, Jurgen de Poorter, Ingrid Leijten, Gerhard van der Schyff, Maarten Stremmer and Sophie Vonk	
1.1 Constitutions Through an Ideological Prism	2
1.2 Pluralising Scholarly Thinking as Regards the Varieties of Constitutionalism	4
1.3 Reckoning with Conceptual Pluralism	7
References	8
2 Classifying Varieties of Constitutionalism	9
Mark Tushnet	
2.1 Creating a Catalogue of Constitutionalism's Varieties	10
2.2 A Catalogue Based Upon Entrenchment and the Role of Courts	12
2.3 The Problem of Regional Constitutionalisms	15
2.4 A Skeptical Conclusion	20
References	20
3 Republican Constitutionalism: Freedom as Non-domination and the Democratic Challenge to Liberalism	23
Thibault Carrère	
3.1 Introduction	24
3.1.1 The Characteristics of Liberal Constitutionalism	24
3.1.2 The Emergence of Republican Constitutionalism	25
3.2 The Political Nature of Republican Constitutionalism	28
3.2.1 Republicanism and Political Constitutionalism	28
3.2.2 Republicanism and Procedural Democracy	32
3.3 The Popular Nature of Republican Constitutionalism	35
3.3.1 Republicanism and Mixed Constitution	35
3.3.2 Republicanism and Popular Constitutionalism	39
3.4 Conclusion	42
References	43

4	Muddling-Through Constitutionalism in the United Kingdom: The Importance of the Relationship Between the Monarch and the Prime Minister	47
	Chris Monaghan	
4.1	Introduction	48
4.2	Mapping the Constitution	49
4.3	The Need for a Broader Perspective	58
4.3.1	The Problem with Johnson	58
4.3.2	Muddling-Through: Lessons from History	66
4.4	Conclusion	70
	References	71
5	Militant Constitutionalism: Formal Institutions and Constitutional Practices	73
	Ronald Tinnevelt	
5.1	Introduction	74
5.2	Militant Democracy and Militant Constitutionalism	75
5.2.1	Militant Democracy	76
5.2.2	Militant Constitutionalism	77
5.3	Rule of Law: Formal and Substantive	82
5.4	Constitutional Practices	85
5.4.1	Binding Power and Protecting Socio-Economic Rights	86
5.4.2	Four Possible Elements	88
5.5	Concluding Remarks	91
	References	92
6	Rights, Remedies and Paradigms of Constitutionalism—Same-Sex Marriage Before the Courts	95
	Kushagr Bakshi	
6.1	Setting the Scene: Same-Sex Marriage Before Courts	96
6.2	The Inadequacy of Privacy in the Traditional Liberal Democratic Paradigm	100
6.2.1	Privacy as a Negative Right	100
6.2.2	Negative Rights and the Impoverishment of Traditional Liberal Democratic Constitutionalism	103
6.3	The Reach of Equality in a Transformative Paradigm	108
6.3.1	A Substantive Vision of Equality	108
6.3.2	Equal Moral Membership and Transformative Constitutionalism	112
6.4	The Elasticity of Paradigms: A Discussion on Transplants	118
	References	124

7 Gatekeeper Constitutionalism: Exploring the Role of the Indian Judiciary in Environmental Constitutionalism and International Law Integration	129
Eklavya Vasudev	
7.1 Introduction	130
7.2 The Indian Supreme Court's Gatekeeper Approach to Environmental Constitutionalism	131
7.3 India's Constitutional Framework and International Law	139
7.3.1 The Constitutional Framework	139
7.3.2 A Monist System in Practice	141
7.3.3 Judicial Incorporation	143
7.4 Benefits, Challenges, and Possible Path Forward	145
7.4.1 International Law Has Enriched Environmental Jurisprudence in India	145
7.4.2 Challenges with the Court's Gatekeeping Approach	146
7.4.3 Potential Solutions	148
7.5 Concluding Reflections: Navigating the Path of Constitutional Gatekeeping	149
Reference List	150
8 Varieties of Constitutionalism and Religion: A Comparison of Greece, Israel and Lebanon	153
Maria Francesca Cavalcanti	
8.1 Varieties of Constitutionalism and Religion: Preliminary Remarks	154
8.2 Constitutionalism and Religion in a Modern Context	155
8.3 Personal Federalism and Religious Jurisdiction Enclaves	158
8.3.1 Constitutionalism and Religion in Greece: Prevalent Religion and Neo Millet	160
8.3.2 Constitutionalism and Religion in the Jewish and Democratic State of Israel	164
8.3.3 Constitutionalism and Religion in the Lebanese Mosaic of Religious Diversity	168
8.4 Varieties of Constitutionalism and Religion: Concluding Remarks	172
Reference List	176
9 Is the <i>Ius Constitutionale Commune</i> in Latin America Missing the Point?	181
Sergio Verdugo	
9.1 Introduction	182
9.2 Latin American Constitutionalism(s) and the Rise of the ICCLA	185
9.3 The Objections against the ICCLA	190
9.3.1 How Do Democratic Approaches to Constitutionalism Impact the ICCLA Project?	190

9.3.2	The Shortcoming of the Inter-American Judicial Dialogue	192
9.3.3	On Constitutional Pluralism and the Margin of Appreciation	195
9.3.4	An Inevitable Pluralism?	198
9.4	Towards a Non-IACtHR-centred Idea of Constitutionalism?	200
9.4.1	How Can the ICCLA Undermine Its Own Goals?	201
9.4.2	Cherry-Picking Examples from Non-Democratic Constitutional Projects	202
9.4.3	How Does a Human rights-centric Approach Neglect the (Real) Protection of Rights?	204
9.4.4	The Problems of Social Rights Enforcement	206
9.5	Conclusions	208
	References	208

Editors and Contributors

About the Editors

Maartje De Visser is Professor at the Yong Pung How School of Law, Singapore. Her current research focuses on constitutional engagement by courts and non-judicial actors, transnational judicial dialogues in Europe and Asia, and means to improve constitutional literacy.

Ingrid Leijten is Professor of Dutch and European Constitutional Law in the Department of Public Law and Governance at Tilburg University, The Netherlands. Her research primarily deals with the changing role and meaning of fundamental rights against the background of broader (comparative) constitutional law developments.

Jurgen de Poorter is State Councillor in the Dutch Council of State and Professor of Administrative Law in the Department of Public Law and Governance at Tilburg University, The Netherlands. His research focuses in particular on constitutional dialogues, public interest litigation and methods of judicial lawmaking.

Gerhard van der Schyff is Associate Professor in the Department of Public Law and Governance at Tilburg University, The Netherlands. His research interests include constitutional law and fundamental rights, especially from a comparative and European perspective.

Maarten Stremler is Assistant Professor of Constitutional Law at the Faculty of Law at Maastricht University, The Netherlands. His research interests are Dutch and European constitutional law, comparative constitutional law and constitutional theory.

Sophie Vonk is a doctoral researcher in the Department of Public Law and Governance at Tilburg University, The Netherlands. Her research deals with the relation between innovation in democracy and fundamental rights protection.

Contributors

Kushagr Bakshi Law School, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Thibault Carrère Department of Law, Avignon University, Avignon, France

Maria Francesca Cavalcanti Department of Public Law and Governance, School of Law, Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands

Chris Monaghan School of Law, University of Worcester, Worcester, UK

Ronald Tinnevelt Department of the Philosophy of Law, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Mark Tushnet Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

Eklavya Vasudev Public International Law and Human Rights, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany

Sergio Verdugo Law School, IE University, Madrid, Spain