

---

## SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

|                                   |     |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| <b>Foreword</b> by Mitchel LASSER | V   |
| <b>Table of Contents</b>          | XI  |
| <b>List of Contributors</b>       | XIX |

### *Introduction*

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Chapter 1<br><b>Introduction: From Legitimacy to Leadership</b><br>Nick HULS | 3 |
|--|---|

### Part I. *The Comparative Enterprise*

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Chapter 2<br><b>Transforming Deliberations</b><br>Mitchel de S.-O.-l'E. LASSER | 33 |
|--|----|

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Chapter 3<br><b>What do we Lack?</b><br>Ugo MATTEI | 55 |
|--|----|

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Chapter 4<br><b>A Socio-Legal Analysis of the Legitimacy of Highest Courts</b><br>Fred BRUINSMA | 61 |
|---|----|

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Chapter 5<br><b>Comparing Judicial Reasoning on a Formalism/Policy Axis: Problematizing and Contextualizing 'Formalism' in Mitchel Lasser's <i>Judicial Deliberations</i></b><br>Jacco BOMHOFF | 77 |
|--|----|

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Chapter 6<br><b>'In good faith': Re-imagining Comparative Law Discourse</b><br>Bram GOETSCHALCKX | 91 |
|--|----|

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Chapter 7<br><b>Formal and Substantial Justification in Legal Decisions: Some Critical Questions from an Argumentative Perspective</b><br>Harm KLOOSTERHUIS | 111 |
|---|-----|

### Part II. *The Subtleties of the French, Belgian and Dutch Cassation Courts*

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Chapter 8<br><b>Formal and Informal Determinative Factors in the Legitimacy of Judicial Decisions: The Point of View of the French Court of Cassation</b><br>Guy CANIVET | 125 |
|--|-----|

|   |  |     |
|---|--|-----|
| Chapter 9   |  |     |
| <b>But Pasteur was French: Comments on Mitchel Lasser’s ‘The European Pasteurization of French Law’</b>   |  | 145 |
| Koen LEMMENS  |  |     |
| Chapter 10  |  |     |
| <b>Filtering Applications, Number of Judgments Delivered and Judicial Discourse by Supreme Courts: Some Thoughts Based on the French Example</b>  |  | 175 |
| Andrea PINNA  |  |     |
| Chapter 11  |  |     |
| <b>A Commentary on Lasser’s Analysis from the Belgian Court of Cassation’s Perspective</b>  |  | 189 |
| Luc HUYBRECHTS  |  |     |
| Chapter 12  |  |     |
| <b>Legitimacy and Democracy through Adjudication: Comparative Reflections on the Argumentative Practice of the French and Belgian <i>Cour de cassation</i></b>  |  | 197 |
| Maurice ADAMS and Fernand TANGHE  |  |     |
| Chapter 13  |  |     |
| <b>Judicial Reasoning and Legitimacy of the Dutch Supreme Court</b>   |  | 223 |
| Willibrord J.M. DAVIDS  |  |     |
| Chapter 14  |  |     |
| <b>The Legitimacy of the Decisions of the Dutch Supreme Court in Criminal Cases</b>   |  | 227 |
| Geert CORSTENS  |  |     |
| Chapter 15  |  |     |
| <b>The Perspective of the Annotator: Some Observations About the Organisation and Format of the Judgments and Decisions of the Criminal Division of the Supreme Court of the Netherlands, and the Role of the Annotator</b> |  | 235 |
| Paul MEVIS  |  |     |
| Chapter 16  |  |     |
| <b>The Perspective of a Cassation Attorney in Criminal Cases</b>  |  | 251 |
| Rob BAUMGARDT   |  |     |
| Chapter 17  |  |     |
| <b>Legitimacy of the Ruling: a Formal Approach</b>  |  | 257 |
| Carel SMITH   |  |     |
| <br>Part III. <i>Challenges to the Legitimacy of Courts</i><br><br>   |  |     |
| Chapter 18  |  |     |
| <b>Courts in a Quest for Legitimacy: A Comparative Approach</b>   |  | 267 |
| Marc A. LOTH  |  |     |

---

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Chapter 19<br><b>Another Turn of the Screw: an Evaluation of Lasser’s <i>Judicial Deliberations</i> in the Light of the Practices of Constitutional Review in France, Germany and the United States</b><br>Elaine MAK | 289 |
| Chapter 20<br><b>From Traditional Judicial Styles to Verdict Industries Inc.</b><br>Rob JAGTENBERG and Annie DE ROO   | 301 |
| Chapter 21<br><b>A Comparison Between Wrongful Dismissal Cases in The Netherlands and California: A Quest for Transparency of Judicial Decisions</b><br>E. Grace VAN ARKEL  | 323 |
| Chapter 22<br><b>The Dutch Supreme Court Versus the Lower Courts: Summary Dismissal and the Catalogue of Viewpoints</b><br>Kees LOONSTRA and Jeroen QUIST   | 343 |
| Chapter 23<br><b>Functions of Judicial Opinion: A View from a Post-Communist European State</b><br>Siniša RODIN   | 369 |
| <i>Part IV. The ECtHR and its Peculiar ‘Problematic’</i>  |     |
| Chapter 24<br><b>Judicial Deliberations: The Strasbourg Perspective</b><br>Lech GARLICKI  | 389 |
| Chapter 25<br><b>Judicial Legitimacy in an Internationalized World</b><br>Wilhelmina THOMASSEN  | 399 |
| Chapter 26<br><b>Judicial Deliberations in the European Court of Human Rights</b><br>Janneke GERARDS  | 407 |
| Chapter 27<br><b>Legitimacy of European Court of Human Rights Judgments: Procedural Aspects</b><br>Tom BARKHUYSEN and Michiel VAN EMMERIK   | 437 |
| Chapter 28<br><b>Judicial Deliberations and Human Rights Adjudication</b><br>Roel DE LANGE  | 451 |
| <b>General Index</b>  | 471 |